Appl. No. 10/574,636

Amdt. dated Feb. 18, 2008

Reply to Office action of Dec. 28, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 remain in this application. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 have been allowed.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1-3 and 5-6.

Prosecution has been closed on the merits in accordance with Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner found that the application was in condition for allowance, except for objections to the specification as not having section headings, to the Abstract as not being presented on a separate sheet without a drawing and to the title as not being indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The specification has been objected to in that there are no section headings. Applicant respectfully declines to provide such headings, as they are not required. Please see MPEP §608.01(a).

By means of the present amendment, a New Abstract is

Appl. No. 10/574,636

Amdt. dated Feb. 18, 2008

Reply to Office action of Dec. 28, 2007

presented and the title has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any informalities remain, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned in order to expedite allowance.

Please charge any fee deficiencies and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Keegan, Reg. 50,145

Attorney

(914) 333-9669

February 18, 2008

Enclosure: New Abstract